arXiv:0908.4587v1 [math.PR] 31 Aug 2009

Strict positivity of the density for non-linear spatially homogeneous SPDEs

Eulalia Nualart[1]

Abstract

In this paper, we consider a system of k second order non-linear stochastic differential equations with spatial dimension $d \geq 1$, driven by a k-dimensional Gaussian noise, which is white in time and with some spatially homogeneous covariance. We prove existence, smoothness, and strict positivity of the density of the law of the solution of this system of equations, on the set where the diffusion matrix is invertible, under sufficient conditions on the fundamental solution Γ of the deterministic equation. For this, we apply techniques of Malliavin calculus. We apply this result to the case of the stochastic heat equation in any space dimension and the the stochastic wave equation in dimension $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with a spatial covariance given by a Riesz kernel. We then study the strict positivity of the density for the case of a single equation ($k = 1$), and apply it to the stochastic heat equation in any space dimension, and the stochastic wave equation in dimension $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with a general spatial covariance.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: 60H15, 60H07.

Key words and phrases. Spatially homogeneous Gaussian noise, Malliavin calculus, non-linear stochastic partial differential equations, strict positivity of the density.

---

[1] Institut Galilée, Université Paris 13, 93430 Villetaneuse, France. `eulalia@nualart.es`, http://nualart.es

1 Introduction and main results

Consider the following system of stochastic partial differential equations:

$$Lu_i(t,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{ij}(u(t,x))\dot{W}^k(t,x) + b_i(u(t,x)), \quad t \geq 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.1}$$

$i = 1, ..., k$, with initial conditions

$$u_i(0,x) = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t}(0,x) = 0.$$

Here L is a second order differential operator and $\sigma_{ij}, b_i : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ are globally Lipschitz functions, that is, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq k$,

$$|\sigma_{ij}(x) - \sigma_{ij}(y)| + |b_i(x) - b_i(y)| \leq K\|x - y\|.$$

We denote by $\sigma := (\sigma_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}$ the diffusion matrix and $b = (b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ the drift vector. The driving perturbation $\dot{W}(t,x) = (\dot{W}^1(t,x), ..., \dot{W}^k(t,x))$ is a k-dimensional Gaussian noise which is white in time and with a spatially homogeneous covariance f, that is,

$$\mathrm{E}\,[\dot{W}^i(t,x)\dot{W}^j(s,y)] = \delta(t-s)f(x-y)\delta_{ij},$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ denotes the Dirac delta function, δ_{ij} the Kronecker symbol, and f is a non-negative continuous function of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that it is the Fourier transform of a non-negative tempered measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. That is,

$$f(x) = \mathscr{F}\mu(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \mu(d\xi).$$

Moreover, we assume that for some integer $m \geq 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{(1 + \|\xi\|^2)^m} < +\infty.$$

Let $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ denote the filtration generated by W (see Section 2 for the precise definition of the noise), and let $T > 0$ be fixed. By definition, the solution to the formal equation (1.1) is an adapted stochastic process $\{u(t,x) = (u_1(t,x), ..., u_k(t,x)), (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(t,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s, x-y)\sigma_{ij}(u(s,y))W^j(ds,dy) \\ + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b_i(u(t-s, x-y))\Gamma(s,dy)ds, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where Γ denotes the fundamental solution of the deterministic equation $Lu = 0$.

Recall that when $\Gamma(t,x)$ is a real valued function, the stochastic integral appearing in (1.2) is the classical Walsh stochastic integral (see [W86]). However, when Γ is measure, Dalang [D99] extended Walsh's stochastic integral using techniques of Fourier analysis, and covered, for instance, the case of the wave equation in dimension three. D.Nualart and Quer-Sardanyons [NQ07] extend Walsh's stochastic integral using techniques of stochastic integration with respect to a cylindrical Wiener process (see [DZ92]), in order to cover the case of measure-valued integrands. This theory will be recalled in Section 2, in our k-dimensional setting. This extension turns out to be equivalent to Dalang's integral when the integrands are of the form $G := \Gamma(t-\cdot, x-*)Z(\cdot,*)$, for certain stochastic processes Z (see Section 2).

We now introduce some hypothesis on Γ, and on the coefficients of equation (1.2), that wil be needed along this paper.

(H1) For all $t>0$, Γ is a nonnegative distribution with rapid decrease, such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(t)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dt < +\infty. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, Γ is a nonnegative measure of the form $\Gamma(t,dx)dt$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t,dx) \leq C_T < +\infty. \tag{1.4}$$

(H2) The functions σ_{ij} and b_i are $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ bounded functions with bounded partial derivatives.

(H3) There exists $\gamma_1>0$ such that for all $h\in[0,T]$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(h+r)(\xi) - \mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dr \leq c_T h^{\gamma_1}, \tag{1.5}$$

for some positive constant $c_T>0$. There exists $\gamma_2>0$ such that for all $h\in[0,T]$,

$$\int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dr \leq c_T h^{\gamma_2}, \tag{1.6}$$

for some positive constant $c_T>0$. There exists $\gamma_3>0$ such that for all $h\in[0,T-t]$,

$$\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(s,dy)ds \leq c_T h^{\gamma_3}, \tag{1.7}$$

for some positive constant $c_T>0$. Set $\gamma := \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge 2\gamma_3$.

(H4) There exists $\gamma_4>0$ such that for all $y,z\in\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(y-\xi) - \mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(z-\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dr \leq c_T \|y-z\|^{\gamma_4},$$

for some positive constant $c_T>0$.

(H5) The nonnegative measure Ψ defined as $\|x\|^{\frac{\gamma_4}{2}}\Gamma(t,dx)dt$ satisfies that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Psi(t)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dt < +\infty.$$

Moreover, there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$, $\frac{\gamma_4}{2} < \alpha_1$, $\frac{\gamma}{2} < \alpha_2$, with $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 < (2\gamma_2) \wedge (\gamma_2 + 1)$, such that for all $\tau \in [0,1]$,

$$\int_0^\tau \langle \Psi(r,*), \Gamma(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr \leq c\tau^{\alpha_1},$$

for some positive constant $c > 0$ (see Section 2 for the definition of the space $\mathscr{H}$), and

$$\int_0^\tau r^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dr \leq c\tau^{\alpha_2},$$

for some positive constant $c > 0$. Set $\alpha := \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2$.

(H6) There exists $\eta > 0$ with $0 < \gamma_2 \leq \eta < \alpha$ such that for all $\tau \in [0,1]$,

$$c\tau^\eta \leq \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(t)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dt,$$

for some positive constant $c > 0$.

In the paper by D.Nualart and Quer-Sardanyons [NQ07], the authors use techniques of Malliavin calculus in order to obtain existence and smoothness of the density of the law of the solution to (1.1) in the case where $k = 1$. For this, they assume hypotheses **(H1)**, **(H2)**, **(H6)**, and the fact that $|\sigma| \geq c > 0$.

The first aim of this paper is to prove existence and smoothness of the density of the law of the solution to (1.1) under conditions **(Hi)**, $i = 1, ..., 6$, on the set where σ is invertible, using techniques of Malliavin calculus (see Theorem 1.1(a)). This will be done in Section 4. For this, we use a natural k-dimensional extension of the results in [NQ07], together with a localizing argument similar as the one used in [BP98]. This requires the additional assumptions **(H3)**, **(H4)**, and **(H5)**. We observe that if we assume that the matrix σ is uniformly elliptic, one also obtains the uniformly boundedness of the density (see Remark 1.2).

Observe that hypotheses **(H3)** and **(H4)** imply in particular that the paths of the solution to (1.1) are $\gamma/2$-Hölder continuous in time, and $\gamma_4/2$-Hölder continuous in space (see Lemma 3.2). The Hölder continuity of the paths of solutions of equations of the type (1.1) have been largely studied in the literature. The case of the stochastic heat in any space dimension was studied in [MS99], and the stochastic wave equation in two space dimension was developed in [SS02]. For Hölder-Sobolev regularity results for the stochastic wave equation in three space dimension see [DS09].

The second and principal aim of this article is to show that under the same conditions **(Hi)**, $i = 1, ..., 6$, the density of the law of equation (1.1) is strictly positive on the set where σ is invertible (see Theorem 1.1(b)). For this, we will first extend in our situation a criterium of strict positiveness of densities proved by Bally and Pardoux in [BP98], which uses essentially an inverse function type result (see Lemma 5.1) and Girsanov's theorem. This will be done in Section 5.

Section 6 will be devoted to apply the criterium of strict positiveness of Section 5 to our class of systems of SPDEs (1.1) under the mentioned conditions. In [BP98], the authors apply their criterium to the density of the law of the random vector $(u(t,x_1),....,u(t,x_k))$, $0 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_k \leq 1$, where u denotes the solution to the non-linear stochastic heat equation driven by a space-time white noise, by using a localizing argument. Hence, their situation is a bit different as ours, as we deal with a system of SPDEs and we evaluate the solution at a single point $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. In a similar context, Chaleyat and Sanz-Solé in [CS03] study the strict positivity of the density of the random vector $(u(t,x_1),....,u(t,x_k))$, $0 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_k \leq 1$, where u is the solution to the stochastic wave equation in two spatial dimensions, that is, take in equation (1.1) $d=2$, $k=1$, and L the fundamental solution of the deterministic wave equation.

We will also apply the criterium of strict positivity of the density of Section 5 to the case of a single equation, that is the solution to (1.1) with $k=1$. As we have recalled above, in [NQ07], the authors prove existence and smoothness of the density of this equation under hypotheses **(H1)**, **(H2)**, **(H6)**, and the fact that $|\sigma| \geq c > 0$. We prove that the density is strictly positive in all $\mathbb{R}$ under the additional hypothesis (1.6) (see Theorem 1.3, proved in Section 7).

In Section 8, we will apply our results to a system of stochastic heat and wave equations driven by a spatial covariance defined as a Riesz kernel (see Theorems 8.1 and 8.3). Observe that in the wave equation case, only in the case $d \in \{1,2,3\}$ Γ defines a nonnegative measure. We also study the case of a single stochastic heat equation in any space dimension (see Theorem 8.2), and a single stochastic wave equation in spatial dimension $d \in \{1,2,3\}$ (see Theorem 8.4), all driven by a general spatial covariance.

There are also other many SPDEs for which the strict positivity of its density is studied. For example, the case of non-linear hyperbolic SPDEs has been studied by Millet and Sanz-Solé in [MS97], Fournier [F99] considers a Poisson driven SPDE, and the Cahn-Hilliard stochastic equation is studied by Cardon-Weber in [C02].

One of the motivations of the results of this article, is to develop in a further work potential theory for solutions of systems of the type (1.1) (see [DKN09b]). For the moment, potential theory for systems of non-linear SPDEs has been studied by Dalang and E.Nualart in [DN04] for the wave equation, and by Dalang, Khoshnevisan and E.Nualart in [DKN08] and [DKN09a], for the heat equation, all driven by space-time white noise. That is, taking in equation (1.1), $d=1$, f the Dirac delta function and L the fundamental solution of the deterministic wave or heat equation. In all these works, the existence, smoothness, uniformly boundedness, and strict positivity of the density of the solution to the system of SPDEs is required.

We next state the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume hypotheses* **(Hi)**, $i=1,...,6$. *Then for all* $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, *the law of random vector* $u(t,x)$ *admits a* $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ *strict positive density on the open subset of* $\mathbb{R}^k$ $\Sigma := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : \det \sigma(y) \neq 0\}$. *That is,*

(a) *there exists a function* $p_{t,x} \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\Sigma;\mathbb{R})$ *such that for every bounded and continuous* *function* $f : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ *with support contained in* Σ,

$$\mathrm{E}[f(u(t,x))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(y)\, p_{t,x}(y) dy,$$

(b) *and* $p_{t,x}(y) > 0$ *for all* $y \in \Sigma$.

Remark 1.2. If σ is strongly elliptic, that is, $\|\sigma(x) \cdot \xi\|^2 \geq \rho^2 > 0$ (or, equivalently, since σ is a square matrix, $\|\xi^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \sigma(x)\|^2 \geq \rho^2 > 0$) for some $\rho > 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|\xi\| = 1$, then one obtains the additional property that the density $p_{t,x}$ is uniformly bounded in any non-degenerate compact rectangle $A \times B \subset]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Note that because σ is a square matrix, it holds that

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \inf_{\|\xi\|=1} \|\sigma(x) \cdot \xi\|^2 = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \inf_{\|\xi\|=1} \|\xi^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \sigma(x)\|^2.$$

However, for non square matrices this equality is false in general.

We finally state the case of a single equation ($k = 1$).

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\{u(t,x), (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ *denote the solution of equation* (1.1) *with* $k = 1$*. Assume hypotheses* **(H1)**, **(H2)**, (1.6)*, and* **(H6)***. Suppose also that* $|\sigma(z)| \geq c > 0$*, for all* $z \in \mathbb{R}$*. Then for all* $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$*, the law of random variable* $u(t,x)$ *admits a* $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ *strictly positive density on* $\mathbb{R}$*.*

2 The stochastic integral

The aim of this section is to recall the results obtained in [NQ07] in our k-dimensional situation. Fix a time interval $[0,T]$. The Gaussian random perturbation $W = (W^1, ..., W^k)$ is a k-dimensional zero mean Gaussian family of random variables $W = \{W^j(\varphi), 1 \leq j \leq d, \varphi \in \mathscr{C}_0^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})\}$,

The aim of this section is to recall the results obtained in [NQ07] in our k-dimensional situation. Fix a time interval $[0,T]$. The Gaussian random perturbation $W = (W^1, ..., W^k)$ is a k-dimensional zero mean Gaussian family of random variables $W = \{W^j(\varphi), 1 \leq j \leq d, \varphi \in \mathscr{C}_0^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})\}$, defined on a complete probability $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathrm{P})$ with covariance

$$\mathrm{E}[W^i(\varphi) W^j(\psi)] = \delta_{ij} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t,x) f(x-z) \psi(t,y)\, dxdydt.$$

This covariance can also be written as

$$\mathrm{E}[W^i(\varphi) W^j(\psi)] = \delta_{ij} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathscr{F}\varphi(t)(\xi) \overline{\mathscr{F}\psi(t)(\xi)} \mu(d\xi) dt.$$

Let $\mathscr{H}^k$ denote the completion of the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^k)$ of $\mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^k)$ functions with rapid decrease, endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_\ell(x) f(x-y) \psi_\ell(y)\, dxdy = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathscr{F}\varphi_\ell(\xi) \overline{\mathscr{F}\psi_\ell(\xi)} \mu(d\xi),$$

$\phi, \psi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^k)$. Notice that $\mathscr{H}^k$ may contain distributions. Set $\mathscr{H}_T = L^2([0,T];\mathscr{H}^k)$. In particular, $\mathscr{H}_T$ is the completion of the space $\mathscr{C}_0^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^k)$ with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_T} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathscr{F}\varphi_\ell(t)(\xi)\overline{\mathscr{F}\psi_\ell(t)(\xi)}\mu(d\xi)dt.$$

Then the Gaussian family W can be extended to $\mathscr{H}_T$ and we will use the same notation $\{W(g), g\in\mathscr{H}_T\}$, where $W(g)=\sum_{i=1}^k W^i(g_i)$.

We next recall the extension of Walsh's integral defined in [NQ07]. Set $W_t(h) = \sum_{i=1}^k W^i(1_{[0,t]}h_i)$, for any $t\geq 0$, $h\in\mathscr{H}^k$. Then $\{W_t, t\in[0,T]\}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}^k$ (cf. [DZ92, Section 4.3.1]). That is, for any $h\in\mathscr{H}^k$, $\{W_t(h), t\in[0,T]\}$ is a Brownian motion with variance $\|h\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k}$, and

$$\mathrm{E}[W_t(h)W_t(g)] = (s\wedge t)\langle\varphi,\psi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}^k}.$$

Let $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote the σ-field generated by the random variables $\{W_s(h), h\in\mathscr{H}^k, 0\leq s\leq t\}$ and the P-null sets. We define the predictable σ-field as the σ-field in $\Omega\times[0,T]$ generated by the sets $\{(s,t]\times A, 0\leq s<t\leq T, A\in\mathscr{F}_s\}$. Then following [DZ92, Chapter 4], we can define the stochastic integral of any predictable process $g\in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T];\mathscr{H}^k)$ with respect to the cylindrical Wiener process W and we denote it by

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\cdot dW = g\cdot W.$$

Moreover, the following isometry property holds:

$$\mathrm{E}\left[|g\cdot W|^2\right] = \mathrm{E}\left[\int_0^T \|g_t\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k}dt\right].$$

Then using [NQ07, Proposition 3.3], one can define the stochastic integral of a predictable process $G=G(t,dx)=Z(t,x)\Gamma(t,dx)\in L^2(\Omega\times[0,T];\mathscr{H}^k)$ with respect to W, provided that $Z=\{Z(t,x),(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\}$ is a predictable process such that

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[\|Z(t,x)\|^2] < +\infty,$$

and in this case, we denoted the stochastic integral as

$$G\cdot W = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(s,y)\cdot W(ds,dy) = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma(s,y)Z(s,y)\cdot W(ds,dy).$$

3 Existence, uniqueness and Hölder regularity of the solution

We assume that for all $i=1,...,k$ fixed, the process $Z_j(s,y)=\sigma_{ij}(u(s,y))$, $j=1,...,k$ satisfies the hypothesis of [NQ07, Proposition 3.3] so that the stochastic integral in (1.2) is well-defined.

We next state the existence and uniqueness result of the solution to equation (1.2):

Theorem 3.1. [NQ07, Theorem 4.1] *Under condition* **(H1)***, there exists a unique adapted process* $\{u(t,x) = (u_1(t,x), ..., u_k(t,x)), (t,x) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\}$ *solution of equation* (1.2)*, which is continuous in* L^2 *and satisfies that for all* $T > 0$ *and* $p \geq 1$*,*

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[\|u(t,x)\|^p] < +\infty. \tag{3.1}$$

We next prove a consequence of hypotheses **(H3)** and **(H4)**, which concerns an upper bound for the pth-moment of the increment of the process u, and in particular, gives the Hölder continuity exponent of the paths of u.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that condition* **(H1)** *is satisfied.*

(i) *Under condition* **(H3)***, for all* $t \in [0,T]$*,* $h \in [0, T-t]$*,* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*, and* $p > 1$*, there exists* $\gamma > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\|u(t+h,x) - u(t,x)\|^p\right] \leq c_{p,T,K} h^{\gamma p/2}, \tag{3.2}$$

for some constant $c_{p,T,K} > 0$*. In particular, the trajectories of* u *are* $\gamma/2$*-Hölder continuous in time.*

(ii) *Under condition* **(H4)***, for all* $t \in [0,T]$*,* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$*, and* $p > 1$*, there exists* $\gamma' > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\|u(t,x) - u(t,y)\|^p\right] \leq c_{p,T,K} \|x-y\|^{\gamma_4 p/2}, \tag{3.3}$$

for some constant $c_{p,T,K} > 0$*. In particular, the trajectories of* u *are* $\gamma_4/2$*-Hölder continuous in space.*

Proof. We start proving (3.2). We write

$$u(t+h,x) - u(t,x) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Gamma(t+h-s, x-y) - \Gamma(t-s, x-y))\sigma_j(u(s,y))W^j(ds,dy),\\
I_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s, x-y)\sigma_j(u(s,y))W^j(ds,dy),\\
I_3 &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (b(u(t+h-s, x-y)) - b(u(t-s, x-y)))\Gamma(s,dy)ds,\\
I_4 &= \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b(u(t-s, x-y))\Gamma(s,dy)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using [NQ07, (3.11)], the Lipschitz property of the coefficients of σ, (3.1), and hypothesis (1.5), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[\|I_1\|^p] &\leq c_{p,K}\Bigg(1 + \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[\|u(s,y)\|^p]\Bigg)\\
&\quad\times\left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(h+s)(\xi) - \mathscr{F}\Gamma(s)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)ds\right)^{p/2}\\
&\leq c_{p,K,T} h^{\gamma_1 p/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, but appealing to hypothesis (1.6), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[\|I_2\|^p] &\leq c_{p,K}\biggl(1+\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(s,y)\|^p]\biggr)\biggl(\int_0^h\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathscr{F}\Gamma(s)(\xi)|^2\mu(d\xi)ds\biggr)^{p/2}\\
&\leq c_{p,K,T}h^{\gamma_2 p/2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, using the Lipschitz property of the coefficients of b, together with [NQ07, (5.17)], and hypothesis (1.4), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[\|I_3\|^p] &\leq c_{p,T,K}\int_0^t\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(t+h-s,y)-u(t-s,y)\|^p]\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma(s,dy)ds\\
&\leq c_{p,T,K}\int_0^t\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(h+s,y)-u(s,y)\|^p]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using the Lipschitz property of the coefficients of b, together with Minkowski's inequality with respect to the finite measure $\Gamma(s,dy)ds$, and appealing to (3.1) and hypothesis (1.7), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[\|I_4\|^p] &\leq c_{p,K}\biggl(1+\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(s,y)\|^p]\biggr)\biggl(\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma(s,dy)ds\biggr)^{p}\\
&\leq c_{p,K,T}h^{\gamma_3 p}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have proved that

$$
\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|u(t+h,y)-u(t,y)\|^p\right]\leq c_{p,T,K}\biggl(h^{\gamma p/2}+\int_0^t\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(h+s,y)-u(s,y)\|^p]ds\biggr).
$$

Finally, apply Gronwall's Lemma to conclude the proof of (3.2).

We next prove (3.3). Using [NQ07, (3.11)] and the Lipschitz property of the coefficients of σ and b, it yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[\|u(t,x)-u(t,y)\|^p] \leq c_{p,K}&\biggl(1+\sup_{(s,z)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(s,z)\|^p]\biggr)\\
&\times\biggl(\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathscr{F}\Gamma(s)(x-\xi)-\mathscr{F}\Gamma(s)(y-\xi)|^2\mu(d\xi)ds\biggr)^{p/2}\\
&+c_{p,K}\mathrm{E}\biggl[\biggl|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\|u(s,x-z)-u(s,y-z)\|\Gamma(s,dz)ds\biggr|^p\biggr].
\end{aligned}
$$

We now apply hypotheses (1.4) and **(H4)**, and (3.1), together with [NQ07, (5.17)], to get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}[\|u(t,x)-u(t,y)\|^p]\\
&\leq c_{p,T,K}\|x-y\|^{\gamma_4 p/2}+c_{p,T,K}\int_0^t\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(s,x-z)-u(s,y-z)\|^p]\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma(s,dz)ds\\
&\leq c_{p,T,K}\|x-y\|^{\gamma_4 p/2}+c_{p,T,K}\int_0^t\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|u(s,x-z)-u(s,y-z)\|^p]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[\|u(t,x-z)-u(t,y-z)\|^p] \\
&\le c_{p,T,K}\|x-y\|^{\gamma_4 p/2} + c_{p,T,K}\int_0^t \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[\|u(s,x-z)-u(s,y-z)\|^p]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, apply Gronwall's Lemma to conclude the proof of (3.3). □

We end this section proving a result which is a consequence of Lemma 3.2 and hypothesis **(H5)**, that will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Its proof follows similar ideas as the ones in [NQ07, Proposition 3.3].

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that hypotheses* **(H1)**, **(H3)**, **(H4)**, *and* **(H5)** *are satisfied. Then for all* $t \in [0,T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\epsilon \in (0,1]$, *and* $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{E}\bigg[\bigg|\int_0^\epsilon \Big\langle (\sigma_{ij}(u(t-r,*)) - \sigma_{ij}(u(t,x)))\Gamma(r,x-*), \Gamma(r,x-*)\Big\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr\bigg|^p\bigg] \le c_{p,K,T}\epsilon^{\alpha p},$$

for some constant $c_{p,K,T}$, *where* $\alpha > 0$ *is the parameter in hypothesis* **(H5)**.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of [NQ07, Proposition 3.3]. For all $n \ge 1$, let ψ_n be the approximation of the identity defined in [NQ07, Proof of Lemma 3.2]. Define the functions, $G_n^{t,x}(r,\xi) := (\psi_n(*) \star (\sigma_{ij}(u(t-r,*)) - \sigma_{ij}(u(t,x)))\Gamma(r,x-*))(\xi)$, $\Gamma_n^x(r,\xi) := (\psi_n(*) \star \Gamma(r,x-*))(\xi)$, and $\Psi_n^x(r,\xi) = (\psi_n(*) \star \Psi(r,x-*))(\xi)$, where $\star$ is the convolution product and Ψ is the measure defined in hypothesis **(H5)**. Then, $G_n^{t,x}(r,*)$, $\Gamma_n^x(r,*)$, and $\Psi_n^x(r,*)$ belong to $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$.

Then, for all $n \ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\bigg[\bigg|\int_0^\epsilon \langle G_n^{t,x}(r,*), \Gamma_n^x(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr\bigg|^p\bigg] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\bigg[\bigg|\int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_n^{t,x}(r,y) f(y-z)\Gamma_n^x(r,z)\, dydzdr\bigg|^p\bigg] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\bigg[\bigg|\int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(r,x-dy')(\sigma_{ij}(u(t-r,y')) - \sigma_{ij}(u(t,x)))\Gamma(r,x-dz') \\
&\qquad \times \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_n(y-y')f(y-z)\psi_n(z-z')dydz\bigg)dr\bigg|^p\bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality with respect to the finite measure

$$\Gamma(r,x-dy')\Gamma(r,x-dz')\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_n(y-y')f(y-z)\psi_n(z-z')dydz\bigg)dr,$$

together with the Lipschitz property of σ, we get that the last term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{p,K}\bigg|&\int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(r,x-dy')(\mathrm{E}\,[\|u(t-r,y')-u(t,x)\|^p])^{1/p}\Gamma(r,x-dz') \\
&\times \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_n(y-y')f(y-z)\psi_n(z-z')dydz\bigg)dr\bigg|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\langle G_n^{t,x}(r,*),\Gamma_n^x(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}dr\right|^p\right]\\
&\le c_{p,K,T}\left\{\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma(r,x-dy')\|x-y'\|^{\gamma_4/2}\Gamma(r,x-dz')\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi_n(y-y')f(y-z)\psi_n(z-z')dydz\right)dr\right|^p\\
&\qquad\quad+\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma(r,x-dy')r^{\gamma/2}\Gamma(r,x-dz')\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\left.\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi_n(y-y')f(y-z)\psi_n(z-z')dydz\right)dr\right|^p\right\}\\
&=c_{p,K,T}\left\{\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\langle\Psi_n^x(r,*),\Gamma_n^x(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}dr\right|^p+\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}r^{\gamma/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathscr{F}\Gamma_n^x(r)(\xi)|^2\mu(d\xi)dr\right|^p\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by hypothesis **(H5)**, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{n\ge1}\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\langle G_n^{t,x}(r,*),\Gamma_n^x(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}dr\right|^p\right]\\
&\le c_{p,K,T}\left\{\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\langle\Psi(r,*),\Gamma(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}dr\right|^p+\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}r^{\gamma/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(\xi)|^2\mu(d\xi)dr\right|^p\right\}\\
&\le c_{p,K,T}(\epsilon^{\alpha_1 p}+\epsilon^{\alpha_2 p})\le c_{p,K,T}\epsilon^{\alpha p}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Finally, since $\mathscr{F}\psi_n(\xi)\to1$ pointwise, appealing to Fatou's lemma, and (3.4), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\left\langle(\sigma_{ij}(u(t-r,*))-\sigma_{ij}(u(t,x)))\Gamma(r,x-*),\Gamma(r,x-*)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}dr\right|^p\right]\\
&\le\liminf_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\int_0^{\epsilon}\langle G_n^{t,x}(r,*),\Gamma_n^x(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}dr\right|^p\right]\\
&\le c_{p,K,T}\epsilon^{\alpha p}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Existence and smoothness of the density

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1(a).

First of all, we recall some elements of Malliavin calculus. Consider the Gaussian family $\{W(h),h\in\mathscr{H}_T\}$ defined in Section 2, that is, a centered Gaussian process such that $\mathrm{E}[W(h)W(g)]=\langle h,g\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_T}$. Then, we can use the differential Malliavin calculus based on it (see for instance [N06]). We denote the Malliavin derivative by $D=(D^{(1)},...,D^{(k)})$, which is an operator in $L^2(\Omega;\mathscr{H}_T)$. For any $m\ge1$, the domain of the iterated derivative D^m in $L^p(\Omega;\mathscr{H}_T^{\otimes m})$ is denoted by $\mathbb{D}^{m,p}$, for any $p\ge2$. We set $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}=\cap_{p\ge1}\cap_{m\ge1}\mathbb{D}^{m,p}$. Recall that for any differentiable random variable F and any $r=(r_1,...,r_m)\in[0,T]^m$, $D^mF(r)$

is an element of $\mathscr{H}^{\otimes m}$, which will be denoted by $D_r^m F$. We define the Malliavin matrix of $F \in (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^m$ by $\gamma_F = (\langle DF_i, DF_j \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_T})_{1 \le i,j \le m}$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1(a), we will use the following localized variant of Malliavin's absolute continuity theorem.

Theorem 4.1. [BP98, Theorem 3.1] *Let $\Sigma_m \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, be a sequence of open sets such that $\bar{\Sigma}_m \subset \Sigma_{m+1}$ and let $F \in (\mathbb{D}^\infty)^k$ such that for any $q > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathrm{E}\big[(\det \gamma_F)^{-q} \mathbf{1}_{\{F \in \Sigma_m\}}\big] < +\infty. \tag{4.1}$$

Then the law of F admits a $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ density on the set $\Sigma = \cup_m \Sigma_m$.

The next result is the k-dimensional extension of [NQ07, Proposition 6.1]. Its proof follows exactly along the same lines working coordinate by coordinate, and is therefore ommitted.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that hypotheses* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *are satisfied. Then, for every $(t,x) \in (0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the random variable $u_i(t,x)$ belongs to the space $\mathbb{D}^\infty$, for all $i = 1, ..., k$. Moreover, the derivative $Du_i(t,x) = (D^{(1)}u_i(t,x), ..., D^{(k)}u_i(t,x))$ is an $\mathscr{H}_T^k$-valued process that satisfies the following linear stochastic differential equation, for all $j = 1, ..., k$:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_r^{(j)} u_i(t,x) &= \sigma_{ij}(u(r,*))\Gamma(t-r, x-*) \\ &\quad + \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s, x-y) \sum_{\ell=1}^k D_r^{(j)}(\sigma_{i\ell}(u(s,y)) W^\ell(ds,dy) \\ &\quad + \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s, dy) D_r^{(j)}(b_i(u(s,x-y))ds, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

for all $r \in [0,t]$, and is 0 otherwise. Moreover, for all $p \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ and $i = 1, ..., k$, it holds that

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in (0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}\left[\|D^m u_i(t,x)\|^p_{(\mathscr{H}_T^k)^{\otimes m}} \right] < +\infty \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.3. Recall that the iterated derivative of $u_i(t,x)$ satisfies also the k-dimensional extension of equation [NQ07, (6.27)].

Recall that the stochastic integral on the right hand-side of (4.2) must be understood by means of a Hilbert-valued stochastic integral (see [NQ07, Section 3]) and the Hilbert-valued pathwise integral of (4.2) is defined in [NQ07, Section 5].

We next prove Theorem 1.1(a) and Remark 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.1(a). For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, define the open set

$$\Sigma_m = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(y)\|^2 > \frac{1}{m}, \forall\, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k, \|\xi\| = 1 \right\}. \tag{4.4}$$

By Proposition 4.2, it suffices to prove that condition (4.1) of Theorem 4.1 holds true in each Σ_m. Indeed, oberve that $\Sigma = \cup_m \Sigma_m = \{\det \sigma \neq 0\}$.

Let $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $m \geq 1$ be fixed. Let $\tilde{c} := \frac{2}{3}c$, where c is the constant in hypothesis **(H6)**. It suffices to prove that there exists $\delta_0(m,\tilde{c}) > 0$ such that for all $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, and all $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{P}\left\{\det \gamma_{u(t,x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{u(t,x)\in\Gamma_m\}} < \delta\right\} \leq C\delta^{\lambda p}, \tag{4.5}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, and for some constant $C > 0$ not depending on δ. This implies (4.1), taking $p = \frac{q}{\lambda} + 1$ in (4.5).

We write

$$\det \gamma_{u(t,x)} \geq \left(\inf_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^k:\|\xi\|=1}(\xi^T \gamma_{u(t,x)}\xi)\right)^k. \tag{4.6}$$

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\|\xi\| = 1$, and fix $\epsilon \in (0,1]$. The inequality

$$\|a+b\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} \geq \frac{2}{3}\|a\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} - 2\|b\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k}, \tag{4.7}$$

together with (4.2), gives

$$\xi^T \gamma_{u(t,x)}\xi \geq \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k D_r(u_i(t,x))\xi_i \right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr \geq \frac{2}{3}\mathscr{A}_1 - 2\mathscr{A}_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A}_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \|(\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(r,*)))_i \Gamma(t-r,x-*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr,\\
\mathscr{A}_2 &= \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(r,t,x,*)\,\xi_i \right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr,\\
a_i(r,t,x,*) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^k \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s,x-y) D_r(\sigma_{i\ell}(u(s,y)) W^\ell(ds,dy)\\
&\quad + \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_r(b_i(u(s,x-y))\Gamma(t-s,y)dyds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, assume that $u(t,x) \in \Sigma_m$. Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, define the smooth functions $\Gamma_n(r,\xi) := (\psi_n(*) \star \Gamma(r,*))(\xi)$. Then, adding and subtracting the term $(\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(t,x)))_i^2$ into $\mathscr{A}_1$, and using inequality (4.7), we get that $\mathscr{A}_1 \geq \frac{2}{3}\mathscr{A}_{1,1} - 2\mathscr{A}_{1,2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A}_{1,1} &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(t,x)))_i^2 \int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma_n(r)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dr,\\
\mathscr{A}_{1,2} &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-r,x-dy')\Gamma(t-r,x-dz')\\
&\qquad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_n(y-y') f(y-z) \psi_n(z-z') dydz \right)\\
&\qquad \times \left((\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(r,y')))_i (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(r,z')))_i - (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(t,x)))_i^2 \right) dr
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have added and subtracted a "local" term to make the ellipticity property appear (see (4.4)). A similar idea is used in [MS99] for the stochastic wave equation in dimension 2.

Then, using the fact that $u(t,x) \in \Sigma_m$ and hypothesis **(H6)**, we get that

$$\mathscr{A}_{1,1} > \frac{1}{m} \int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi) dr \geq c \frac{1}{m} \epsilon^\eta.$$

Now we find out upper bounds for the p-th moment, $p > 1$, of the terms $\mathscr{A}_{1,2}$ and $\mathscr{A}_2$. We start treating $\mathscr{A}_{1,2}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(r,y)))_i \, (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(r,z)))_i - (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(t,x)))_i^2 \\
&= (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(r,y)))_i \left(\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot (\sigma(u(r,z)) - \sigma(u(t,x))) \right)_i \\
&\qquad + (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot \sigma(u(t,x)))_i \, (\xi^\mathsf{T} \cdot (\sigma(u(r,y)) - \sigma(u(t,x))))_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, using the Lipschitz property of the coefficients of σ, and (3.1), it yields that

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\xi\| = 1} |\mathscr{A}_{1,2}|^p \right] \leq c_{p,K,T} \epsilon^{\alpha p}.$$

We next treat $\mathscr{A}_2$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for any $p > 1$, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\xi\| = 1} |\mathscr{A}_2|^p \right] &\leq c_p \sum_{i=1}^k \mathrm{E}\left[\left| \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \|a_i(r,t,x,*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr \right|^p \right] \\
&\leq c_p \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\mathrm{E}\left[\left| \int_0^\epsilon \|V_i(r,t,x,*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr \right|^p \right] + \mathrm{E}\left[\left| \int_0^\epsilon \|W_i(r,t,x,*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr \right|^p \right] \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_i(r,t,x,*) &:= \sum_{\ell=1}^k \int_{t-r}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s, x-y) D_{t-r}(\sigma_{i\ell}(u(s,y)) W^\ell(ds,dy), \\
W_i(r,t,x,*) &:= \int_{t-r}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s,y) D_{t-r}(b_i(u(s,x-y)) dy ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using Hölder's inequality, the boundedness of the coefficients of the derivatives of σ, [NQ07, (3.13), (5.26)], and hypothesis (1.6), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left| \int_0^\epsilon \|V_i(r,t,x,*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr \right|^p \right] &\leq c_p \epsilon^{p-1} \sup_{(s,y) \in (0,\epsilon) \times \mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[\|D_{t-\cdot} u_i(t-s,y)\|^{2p}_{\mathscr{H}^k_\epsilon}] \\
&\qquad \times \left(\int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(s)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi) ds \right)^p \\
&\leq c_p \epsilon^{2\gamma_2 p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using Hölder's inequality, the boundedness of the partial derivatives of the coefficients of b, hypotheses (1.4) and (1.6), and [NQ07, (5.17), (5.26)], for the second term in (4.8) corresponding to the Hilbert-valued pathwise integral, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\int_0^{\epsilon} \|W_i(r,t,x,*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k} dr\right|^p\right] &\leq c_p \epsilon^{p-1} \sup_{(s,y)\in(0,\epsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[\|D_{t-\cdot}u_i(t-s,y)\|^{2p}_{\mathscr{H}^k_\epsilon}] \\ &\qquad \times \left(\int_0^{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma(s,y)dyds\right) \\ &\leq c_p \epsilon^{(\gamma_2+1)p}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that, for any $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d:\|\xi\|=1} |\mathscr{A}_2|^p\right] \leq c_p \epsilon^{\alpha p}.$$

Appealing to (4.6), we have proved that, on the set $\{u(t,x) \in \Sigma_m\}$,

$$\det \gamma_{u(t,x)} > \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{m}\epsilon^{\eta} - I\right)^k, \tag{4.9}$$

where I is a random variable such that for all $p > 1$, $\mathrm{E}[|I|^p] \leq \epsilon^{\alpha p}$, and $\alpha, \eta > 0$ are the parameter of hypotheses **(H5)** and **(H6)**.

We now choose $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta, m, \tilde{c})$ in such a way that $\delta^{1/k} = \frac{\tilde{c}}{2m}\epsilon^{\eta}$, and since $\epsilon \leq 1$, $\delta \leq \delta_0 := (\frac{2m}{\tilde{c}})^{-k}$. Then using (4.9), we conclude that for all $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{P}\left\{\det \gamma_{u(t,x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{u(t,x)\in\Sigma_m\}} < \delta\right\} \leq \left(\frac{\epsilon^{\alpha}}{\frac{\tilde{c}}{m}\epsilon^{\eta} - \delta^{1/k}}\right)^p = C(m,\tilde{c},p)\delta^{\lambda p},$$

with $\lambda = \frac{\alpha-\eta}{\eta k}$ $(\eta < \alpha)$. This proves (4.5). □

Proof of Remark 1.2. If σ is strongly elliptic, we can use the same computations above without localizing on the set Σ_m, and then apply [DKN09a, Proposition 3.5] with $Z := \inf_{\|\xi\|=1}(\xi^T\gamma_{u(t,x)}\xi)$, $Y_{1,\epsilon} = Y_{2,\epsilon} = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1}(|\mathscr{A}_2| + |\mathscr{A}_{1,2}|)$, $\epsilon_0 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \eta$, and $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \alpha$ $(\eta < \alpha)$, to conclude that for any $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{E}\left[(\det \gamma_{u(t,x)})^{-p}\right] \leq c_{p,K,T},$$

where the constant $c_{p,T,K}$ is clearly uniform over (t,x) in any nondegenerate compact rectangle $A \times B \subset]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. This, together with Proposition 4.2 and [DKN09a, Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.4], proves that under the strong ellipticity condition, for any $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the law of the random vector $u(t,x)$ admits a $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ density which is uniformly bounded over any nondegenerate compact rectangle $A \times B \subset]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. □

5 Criterium for the strict positivity of the density

Given $T > 0$, a predictable processes $g \in \mathscr{H}_T$, $h \in \mathscr{H}^k$, and $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we define the process $\hat{W} = (\hat{W}^1, ..., \hat{W}^k)$ as

$$\hat{W}^j(1_{[0,t]}h_j) = W^j(1_{[0,t]}h_j) + z_j \int_0^t \langle h_j(*), g^j(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} ds, \ \ j = 1, ..., k, \ t \in [0,T].$$

We set $\hat{W}_t(h) = \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{W}^j(1_{[0,t]}h_j)$. Then, by [DZ92, Theorem 10.14], $\{\hat{W}_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in $\mathscr{H}^k$ on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \hat{\mathrm{P}})$, where

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathrm{P}}}{d\mathrm{P}}(\omega) = J_z, \ \ \omega \in \Omega,$$

where

$$J_z = \exp\Big(-\sum_{j=1}^k z_j \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_j(s,y) W^j(ds,dy) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j^2 \int_0^T \|g^j(s,*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}\, ds \Big). \tag{5.1}$$

Then, for any predictable process $Z \in L^2(\Omega \times [0,T]; \mathscr{H}^k)$ and $j = 1, ..., k$, it yields that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Z_j(s,y) \hat{W}^j(ds,dy) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Z_j(s,y) W^j(ds,dy) + z_j \int_0^T \langle Z_j(s,*), g^j(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} ds.$$

Now let $\hat{u}^z(t,x)$ the solution to equation (1.2) with respect to the cylindrical Wiener process $\hat{W}$, that is, for $i = 1, ..., k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}^z_i(t,x) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s, x-y) \sigma_{ij}(\hat{u}^z(s,y)) W^j(ds,dy) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \int_0^t \langle \Gamma(t-s, x-\cdot) \sigma_{ij}(\hat{u}^z(s,*)), g^j(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b_i(\hat{u}^z(t-s, x-y)) \Gamma(s,dy) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Then, the law of u under P coincides with the law of $\hat{u}^z$ under $\hat{P}$, that is, for all $i = 1, ..., k$,

$$\mathrm{E}[u_i(t,x)] = \mathrm{E}[\hat{u}^z_i(t,x) J_z]. \tag{5.3}$$

Given a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of predictable processes in $\mathscr{H}_T$, $h \in \mathscr{H}^k$, and $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$, let $\hat{u}^z_n(t,x)$ be the solution to equation (1.2) with respect to the cylindrical Wiener process $\{\hat{W}^n_t, t \in [0,T]\}$, where $\hat{W}^n_t(h) = \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{W}^{n,j}(1_{[0,t]}h_j)$, and

$$\hat{W}^{n,j}(1_{[0,t]}h_j) = W^j(1_{[0,t]}h_j) + z_j \int_0^t \langle h_j(*), g^j_n(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} ds.$$

Set the $k \times k$ matrix $\varphi^z_n(t,x) := \partial_z \hat{u}^z_n(t,x)$, and the Hessian matrix of the random vector $\hat{u}^z_n(t,x)$, $\psi^z_n(t,x) := \partial^2_z \hat{u}^z_n(t,x)$ (which is a tensor of order 3). We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm of a $n \times n$ matrix A defined as

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|\xi\|=1} \|A\xi\|.$$

We next provide the main result of this section which is a criterium for the strict positivity of a density, which was proved in [BP98, Theorem 3.3] for the case where $\mathscr{H}_T = L^2([0,1]; \mathbb{R}^k)$. Our case follows similarly along the same lines as theirs, but for the sake of completeness we provide its proof. This criterium uses the following quantitative version of the classical inverse function theorem. Let $B(x; r)$ denote the ball of $\mathbb{R}^k$ with center x and radius $r > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. [BP98, Lemma 3.2] and [N98, Lemma 4.2.1] *For any $\beta, \delta > 0$, there exists constants $R \in]0,1]$, $\alpha > 0$, such that any $\mathscr{C}^2$ mapping $g : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfying*

$$|\det g'(0)| \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\|x\| \leq \delta} (|g(x)| + |g'(x)| + |g''(x)|) \leq \beta,$$

is a diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of 0 contained in the ball $B(0;R)$ onto the ball $B(g(0);\alpha)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that for all $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, that the law of random vector $u(t,x)$ has a continuous density $p_{t,x}$ on Σ an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$, that is,*

$$\mathbf{1}_\Sigma(y) P \circ u^{-1}(t,x)(dy) = \mathbf{1}_\Sigma(y) p_{t,x}(y) dy.$$

Assume that for all $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a sequence of predictable processes $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathscr{H}_T$, and positive constants c_1, c_2, r_0 and δ such that, for all $y \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{P} \circ u^{-1}(t,x)) \cap \Sigma$,

(i) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{P}\left\{(\|u(t,x) - y\| \leq r) \cap (\det \varphi_n^0(t,x) \geq c_1)\right\} > 0$, *for all* $r \in]0, r_0]$.

(ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{P}\left\{\sup_{\|z\| \leq \delta}(\|\varphi_n^z(t,x)\| + \|\psi_n^z(t,x)\|) \leq c_2\right\} = 1$.

Then $p_{t,x}$ is a strictly positive function in Σ.

Proof. Fix $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{P} \circ u^{-1}(t,x)) \cap \Sigma$, and set

$$\Lambda_n := \Big\{(\|u(t,x) - y\| \leq r) \cap (\det \varphi_n^0(t,x) \geq c_1) \\ \cap \Big(\sup_{\|z\| \leq \delta} (\|\varphi_n^z(t,x)\| + \|\psi_n^z(t,x)\|) \leq c_2\Big)\Big\}.$$

Then hypotheses (i) and (ii) imply that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for each $r \in]0, r_0]$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathrm{P}\{\Lambda_n\} > 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Let r and n be fixed such that (5.4) holds and note that, on Λ_n,

$$\sup_{\|z\| \leq \delta} \|\hat{u}_n^z(t,x)\| \leq \|\hat{u}_n^0(t,x)\| + \delta c_2 \leq \|y\| + r + \delta c_2 := k.$$

Then by Lemma 5.1, there exists $\alpha > 0$ depending on c_1, c_2, δ, k and $R \in]0,1]$, such that for all $\omega \in \Lambda_n$ the mapping $z \mapsto \hat{u}_n^z(t,x)$ is a diffeomorphism between an open neighborhood $V_n(\omega)$ of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^k$ contained in the ball $B(0;R)$, and the ball $B(u(t,x);\alpha)$. We choose r sufficiently small such that $r < \alpha$, and R small enough such that $\omega \in \Lambda_n$ and $z \in V_n(\omega)$ imply that $\det \varphi_n^z(t,x) \geq \frac{c_1}{2}$.

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly positive continuous function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g(z)dz = 1$ and let $f : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative continuous and bounded function with support contained in Σ. Then by (5.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{E}[f(u(t,x)] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g(z)\mathrm{E}[f(\hat{u}_n^z(t,x))J_z]\,dz \\ &\geq \mathrm{E}\Big[1_{\Lambda_n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g(z)f(\hat{u}_n^z(t,x))J_z\,dz\Big] \\ &\geq \mathrm{E}\Big[1_{\Lambda_n}\int_{V_n} g(z)f(\hat{u}_n^z(t,x))J_z\,dz\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the theorem of change of variables, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{E}[f(u(t,x)] &\geq \mathrm{E}\Big[1_{\Lambda_n}\int_{B(u(t,x);\alpha)} \frac{f(v)}{|\det \varphi_n^z(t,x)|} g((\hat{u}_n^v(t,x))^{-1})J_{(\hat{u}_n^v(t,x))^{-1}}\,dv\Big] \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(v)\theta_n(v)\,dv. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_n(v) := \mathrm{E}\Big[1_{\Lambda_n}\varphi(\|u(t,x)-v\|)\inf\Big(\frac{1}{|\det \varphi_n^z(t,x)|}g((\hat{u}_n^v(t,x))^{-1})J_{(\hat{u}_n^v(t,x))^{-1}}, 1\Big)\Big], \tag{5.5}$$

and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto [0,1]$ is a continuous function such that $1_{[0,r]} \leq \varphi \leq 1_{[0,\alpha]}$.

Note that from (5.4) and the fact that $\{\|u(t,x)-y\| \leq r\} \subset \Lambda_n$, we have that $\theta_n(y) > 0$. Moreover, because the function

$$v \mapsto \varphi(\|u(t,x)-v\|)\inf\Big(\frac{1}{|\det \varphi_n^z(t,x)|}g((\hat{u}_n^v(t,x))^{-1})J_{(\hat{u}_n^v(t,x))^{-1}}, 1\Big)$$

is a.s. continuous and bounded by 1, from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that θ_n is continuous.

Hence, for each $y \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{P}\circ u^{-1}(t,x)) \cap \Sigma$, we have proved the existence of a continuous function $\theta_n^y : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\theta_n^y(y) > 0$, and for all $f : \mathbb{R}^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ nonnegative continuous and bounded function with support contained in Σ,

$$\mathrm{E}[f(F)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(z)p_{t,x}(z)dz \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(z)\theta_n^y(z)dz. \tag{5.6}$$

This implies that $p_{t,x}(y) > 0$. On the other hand, as $\theta_n^y(y) > 0$ and the function θ_n^y is continuous, there exists a neighborhood U_y of y where θ_n^y does not vanish. Hence, we deduce from (5.6) that for all $y \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{P}\circ u^{-1}(t,x)) \cap \Sigma$

$$U_y \subset \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{P}\circ u^{-1}(t,x)) \cap \Sigma,$$

which implies that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{P}\circ u^{-1}(t,x))$ is an open set, and thus contains Σ. This implies that for all $y \in \Sigma$, $p_{t,x}(y) > 0$, and the theorem is proved. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1(b)

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1(b). For this, we assume that Γ is a nonnegative real valued function such that hypotheses (1.4), (1.3), and **(Hi)**, i=2,...,6, hold. We will prove that conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(b). Let $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ be fixed. Consider the sequence of predictable processes $\{g_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathscr{H}_T$, defined by

$$g_n^j(s,z) = c_n^{-1} 1_{[t-2^{-n},t]}(s)\Gamma(t-s,x-z), \;\; n\geq 1, \; j=1,...,k, \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$c_n := \int_0^{2^{-n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(r)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi)dr.$$

We are going to prove that assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied for this sequence $\{g_n\}$ and for any $y \in \mathrm{Supp}(P\circ u^{-1}(t,x)) \cap \Sigma$, where $\Sigma = \{\det \sigma \neq 0\}$. This will prove the theorem.

Proof of (i). Using the interpretation of the Malliavin derivative as a directional derivative we have that

$$\varphi^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) = \int_0^t \langle D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}^z_{n,i}(t,x)), g_n^j(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr.$$

Then by (5.2) and the stochastic differential equation satisfied by the derivative (4.2), we obtain that

$$\varphi^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) = \mathscr{W}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) + \mathscr{I}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) + \mathscr{J}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x),$$

where

$$\mathscr{W}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) := \int_0^t \langle \Gamma(t-r,x-*)\sigma_{ij}(\hat{u}^z_n(r,*)), g_n^j(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr,$$

$$\mathscr{I}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) := \int_0^t \Bigg(\int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s,x-y) \sum_{\ell,k=1}^k \partial_k \sigma_{i\ell}(\hat{u}^z_n(s,y)) \times \langle D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}^z_{n,k}(s,y)), g_n^j(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \hat{W}^{n,\ell}(ds,dy)\Bigg) dr,$$

$$\mathscr{J}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) := \int_0^t \Bigg(\int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{k=1}^k \partial_k b_i(\hat{u}^z_n(s,x-y)) \times \langle D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}^z_{n,k}(s,x-y)), g_n^j(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \Gamma(t-s,dy)ds\Bigg) dr.$$

Note that $g_n^j(r,*) = 1_{[t-2^{-n},t]}(r) g_n^j(r,*)$, and that $D_r(\hat{u}^z_{n,i}(s,y)) = 0$ if $s<r$. Hence, using

this facts and Fubini's theorem, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{I}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) &= \int_{t-2^{-n}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s,x-y) \sum_{\ell,k=1}^k \partial_k \sigma_{i\ell}(\hat{u}^z_n(s,y)) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \left(\int_0^s \langle D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}^z_{n,k}(s,y)), g_n^j(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr\right) \hat{W}^{n,\ell}(ds,dy), \\ \mathscr{J}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) &= \int_{t-2^{-n}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{k=1}^k \partial_k b_i(\hat{u}^z_n(s,x-y)) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \left(\int_0^s \langle D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}^z_{n,k}(s,x-y)), g_n^j(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr\right) \Gamma(t-s,dy)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proved that

$$\varphi^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) = \mathscr{W}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) + \mathscr{T}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) + \mathscr{K}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) + \mathscr{J}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{W}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) &:= c_n^{-1} \int_0^{2^{-n}} \langle \sigma_{ij}(\hat{u}^z_n(t-r,*))\Gamma(r,x-*), \Gamma(r,x-*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr, \\ \mathscr{T}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) &:= \int_{t-2^{-n}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-s,x-y) \sum_{\ell,k=1}^k \partial_k \sigma_{i\ell}(\hat{u}^z_n(s,y)) \varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y) W^\ell(ds,dy), \\ \mathscr{K}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) &:= \sum_{\ell,k=1}^k z_\ell \int_{t-2^{-n}}^t \langle \Gamma(t-s,x-*)\partial_k \sigma_{i\ell}(\hat{u}^z_n(s,*))\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,*), g_n^\ell(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} ds, \\ \mathscr{J}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) &= \int_{t-2^{-n}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{k=1}^k \partial_k b_i(\hat{u}^z_n(s,x-y)) \varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,x-y) \Gamma(t-s,dy)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Next we study upper bounds for the p-moments, $p > 1$, of the last three terms. Appealing to [NQ07, (3.11)], (4.3), and hypothesis (1.6), and using the fact that the partial derivatives of the coefficients of σ are bounded, we get that for all $p > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}[|\mathscr{T}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p] &\le c_p \sum_{k=1}^k \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p] \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \left(\int_{t-2^{-n}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(t-s,x-*)(\xi)|^2 \mu(d\xi) ds\right)^{p/2} \\ &\le c_{p,T} 2^{-n\gamma_2 p/2} \sum_{k=1}^k \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p].\end{aligned}$$

We now use Minkowski's inequality with respect to the finite measure $\Gamma(s,dy)ds$, the boundedness of the partial derivatives of the coefficients of b, and hypothesis (1.4), to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}[|\mathscr{J}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p] &\leq c_p \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p] \Big(\int_0^{2^{-n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(s,dy)ds \Big)^p \\ &\leq c_{p,T} 2^{-np} \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p].\end{aligned}$$

In order to bound the third term $\mathscr{K}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)$, assume that $\|z\| \leq \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the fact that the derivatives of σ are bounded, it yields that

$$\mathrm{E}[|\mathscr{K}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p] \leq c_{p,T}\delta^p \sum_{k=1}^{k} \mathrm{E}\Big[\Big| \int_{t-2^{-n}}^{t} \|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,*)\Gamma(t-s,x-*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} ds \Big|^p \Big].$$

Then, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, and using hypothesis (1.3), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}[|\mathscr{K}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p] &\leq c_{p,T}\delta^p \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p] \\ &\qquad \times \Big(\int_0^{2^{-n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(s)(\xi)|^2 \, \mu(d\xi) ds \Big)^{p/2} \\ &\leq c_{p,T}\delta^p \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p].\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proved that for all $p > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{k} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(t,x)|^p] &\leq c_p \sum_{k=1}^{k} \mathrm{E}[|\mathscr{W}^z_{n,k,j}(t,x)|^p] + c'_{p,T}(2^{-n\gamma_2 p/2} + 2^{-np} + \delta^p) \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p].\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

On the other hand, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, using the boundedness of the coefficients of σ, and hypothesis (1.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}[|\mathscr{W}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p] &\leq \mathrm{E}\Big[\Big| \int_0^{2^{-n}} \|\sigma_{ij}(\hat{u}^z_n(t-r,*))\Gamma(r,x-*)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}} dr \Big|^p \Big] \\ &\leq c_{p,T}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

We next choose n sufficiently large and δ sufficiently small such that for any $p > 1$

$$c'_{p,T}(2^{-n\gamma_2 p/2} + 2^{-np} + \delta^p) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{6.4}$$

Then, (6.2), (6.3), and (6.4) imply that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k} \sup_{\|z\|\le\delta} \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}[|\varphi^z_{n,k,j}(s,y)|^p] \le c_{p,T}. \tag{6.5}$$

Moreover, the calculations above also show that

$$\mathrm{E}\Big[|\varphi^z_{n,i,j}(t,x) - \mathscr{W}^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p\Big] \le c_{p,T}(2^{-\frac{n\gamma_2 p}{2}} + 2^{-np} + \delta^p).$$

In particular, when $z = 0$, this implies that for all $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{E}\Big[|\varphi^0_{n,i,j}(t,x) - \mathscr{W}^0_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p\Big] \le c_{p,T}(2^{-n\gamma_2 p/2} + 2^{-np}). \tag{6.6}$$

On the other hand, we write,

$$\mathscr{W}^0_{n,i,j}(t,x) = \sigma_{ij}(u(t,x)) + \mathscr{O}_{n,i,j}(t,x),$$

where

$$\mathscr{O}_{n,i,j}(t,x) = c_n^{-1}\int_0^{2^{-n}} \Big\langle (\sigma_{ij}(u(t-r,*)) - \sigma_{ij}(u(t,x)))\Gamma(r,x-*), \Gamma(r,x-*)\Big\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr.$$

By Lemma 3.3 and hypothesis **(H6)**, we conclude that for all $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{E}\Big[|\mathscr{O}_{n,i,j}(t,x)|^p\Big] \le c_{p,K,T} 2^{-n(\alpha-\eta)p} \quad (\alpha > \eta). \tag{6.7}$$

Now, since $y \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{P}\circ u^{-1}(t,x)) \cap \Sigma$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < r \le r_0$,

$$B(y;r) \subset \Sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{P}\left\{u(t,x) \in B(y;r)\right\} > 0.$$

Moreover, $\det \sigma(y) > 0$ (say). Hence, for all $0 < r \le r_0$,

$$\mathrm{P}\Big\{(\|u(t,x) - y\| \le r) \cap (\det\sigma(u(t,x)) \ge 2c_1)\Big\} > 0, \tag{6.8}$$

where

$$c_1 := \frac{1}{2}\inf_{z\in B(y;r)} \sigma(z).$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\det\varphi^0_n(t,x) \ge \det\sigma(u(t,x)) - \left|\det\Big(\sigma(u(t,x)) + R_n(t,x)\Big) - \det\sigma(u(t,x))\right|, \tag{6.9}$$

where $R_n(t,x)$ is the $k\times k$ matrix $R_n(t,x) = \mathscr{O}_n(t,x) + \varphi^0_n(t,x) - \mathscr{W}^0_n(t,x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\det\Big(\sigma(u(t,x)) + R_n(t,x)\Big) - \det\sigma(u(t,x)) \\ &\quad = \det\sigma(u(t,x))\Big\{\det\Big(I_k + \sigma^{-1}(u(t,x))R_n(t,x)\Big) - 1\Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

where I_k denotes the $k \times k$ identity matrix.

Moreover, observe that (for $k \geq 2$),

$$\det\left(I_k + \sigma^{-1}(u(t,x))R_n(t,x)\right) - 1 = \det \sigma^{-1}(u(t,x)) \det R_n(t,x)$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k=1, i_1<\cdots<i_k}^{k} (\sigma^{-1}(u(t,x))R_n(t,x))_{i_1 i_1} \cdots (\sigma^{-1}(u(t,x))R_n(t,x))_{i_k i_k}. \tag{6.11}$$

Therefore, by the properties of the determinants (6.10) and (6.11), and using (6.6) and (6.7), we conclude that for all $p > 1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\det\left(\sigma(u(t,x)) + R_n(t,x)\right) - \det \sigma(u(t,x))\right|^p\right] = 0. \tag{6.12}$$

Finally, (6.8), (6.9), and (6.12) imply that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}\left\{(\|u(t,x) - y\| \leq r) \cap (\det \varphi_n^0(t,x) \geq c_1)\right\} > 0,$$

which proves (i).

Proof of (ii). We start proving that there exist $c > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}\left\{\sup_{\|z\|\leq\delta} \|\varphi_n^z(t,x)\| \leq c\right\} = 1. \tag{6.13}$$

Let $v_n^z(t,x)$ denote the matrix such that each entry is solution to the affine equation

$$v_{n,i,j}^z(t,x) = \mathscr{W}_{n,i,j}^z(t,x) + \sum_{\ell,k=1}^{k} z_\ell \int_0^t \langle \Gamma(t-s, x-*)\partial_k \sigma_{i\ell}(\hat{u}_n^z(s,*))v_{n,k,j}^z(s,*), g_n^\ell(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} ds. \tag{6.14}$$

Define

$$I_{i,j}(f,w) = \sum_{\ell,k=1}^{k} z_\ell \int_0^t \langle \Gamma(t-s, x-*)\partial_k \sigma_{i\ell}(\hat{u}_n^z(s,*))f_{k,j}(s,*), g_n^\ell(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} ds.$$

Then, for all $w \in \Omega$ and $\|z\| \leq \delta$, using the boundedness of the partial derivatives of the coefficients σ, the definition of the g_n's, and using the approximation argument of the proof of Lemma 3.3, and hypothesis (1.3), it is easily shown that, for all $j = 1, ..., k$,

$$\|I_j(f,w)\|_\infty \leq \delta c_T \|f_j\|_\infty.$$

Hence, for δ small enough, $f_j \mapsto I_j(f,\omega)$ is a contraction for all $w \in \Omega$. Consequently, for δ small enough, equation (6.14) has a unique solution such that, by the boundedness of the coefficients of σ and its derivatives, for all $n \geq 1$ and $\|z\| \leq \delta$, it holds that

$$\|v_n^z(t,x)\| \leq K' \|\mathscr{W}_n^z(t,x)\| \leq K.$$

Therefore, for all $p > 1$,

$$\mathrm{P}\left\{\sup_{\|z\|\leq\delta}\|\varphi_n^z(t,x)\| \geq 2K\right\} \leq \mathrm{P}\left\{\sup_{\|z\|\leq\delta}\|\varphi_n^z(t,x) - v_n^z(t,x)\| \geq K\right\}. \tag{6.15}$$

Now, using the equation for the random field $\varphi_n^z(t,x) - v_n^z(t,x)$ and similar computations as in the proof of (i), it yields that, for all $p > 1$ and $\|z\| \leq \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}[\|\varphi_n^z(t,x) - v_n^z(t,x)\|^p] \leq c_{p,T}(2^{-n\gamma_2 p/2} + 2^{-np}) &\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|\varphi_n^z(s,y)\|^p] \\ + c'_p\delta^p \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}&\mathrm{E}[\|\varphi_n^z(s,y) - v_n^z(s,y)\|^p].\end{aligned}$$

Choose δ small enough such that $c'_p\delta^p \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and use (6.5) to see that

$$\sup_{\|z\|\leq\delta}\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}[\|\varphi_n^z(s,y) - v_n^z(s,y)\|^p] \leq c_{p,T,K}(2^{-n\gamma_2 p/2} + 2^{-np}). \tag{6.16}$$

Observe that this implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{\|z\|\leq\delta}\mathrm{P}\left\{\|\varphi_n^z(t,x)\| \geq 2K\right\} = 0.$$

Therefore, in order to prove (6.13) one needs to check the uniformity in z. Let z and z' such that $\|z\| \vee \|z'\| \leq \delta$. Then, using the same calculations that lead to (6.2), the Lipschitz property of σ' and b', and subtracting and adding the terms $\mathscr{T}_n^z(t,x)$, $\mathscr{K}_n^z(t,x)$, and $\mathscr{J}_n^z(t,x)$ with $\hat{u}_n^{z'}(s,y)$ and $\varphi_n^z(t,x)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\varphi_n^z(t,x) - \varphi_n^{z'}(t,x)\|^p\right] \leq c_p\mathrm{E}\left[\|\mathscr{W}_n^z(t,x) - \mathscr{W}_n^{z'}(t,x)\|^p\right] + c'_{p,T,K}(2^{-n\gamma_2 p/2} + 2^{-np} + \delta^p) \\ \times\Bigg(\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\varphi_n^z(s,y) - \varphi_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \\ + \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\hat{u}_n^z(s,y) - \hat{u}_n^{z'}(s,y))\|^p\|\varphi_n^z(s,y)\|^p\right]\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Choosing δ and n as in (6.4), applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and appealing to (6.5), it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\varphi_n^z(s,y) - \varphi_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \leq c_p &\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\mathscr{W}_n^z(s,y) - \mathscr{W}_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \\ + c_{p,T,K} &\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\hat{u}_n^z(s,y) - \hat{u}_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^{2p}\right]^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding along the same lines, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|v_n^z(s,y) - v_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \leq c_p &\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\mathscr{W}_n^z(s,y) - \mathscr{W}_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \\ + c_{p,T,K} &\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{E}\left[\|\hat{u}_n^z(s,y) - \hat{u}_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^{2p}\right]^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

We now claim that for all $p > 1$,

$$\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}\left[\|\hat{u}_n^z(s,y) - \hat{u}_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \leq c_{p,T,K}\|z - z'\|^p. \tag{6.17}$$

Indeed, using the Lipschitz property of the coefficients of σ and b, together with [NQ07, (3.9), (5.15)], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\|\hat{u}_n^z(t,x) - \hat{u}_n^{z'}(t,x)\|^p\right] &\leq c_p\|z - z'\|^p \\
&\quad + c_{p,T,K}\int_0^t \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}\left[\|\hat{u}_n^z(s,y) - \hat{u}_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma(t-s)(\xi)|^2\mu(d\xi)ds \\
&\quad + c_{p,T,K}\int_0^t \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}\left[\|\hat{u}_n^z(t-s,y) - \hat{u}_n^{z'}(t-s,y)\|^p\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(s,dy)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, hypotheses (1.4) and (1.3), and Gronwall's lemma prove (6.17).

We next use the approximation argument of Lemma 3.3, together with the Lipschitz property of σ, and (6.17), to show that

$$\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{E}\left[\|\mathscr{W}_n^z(s,y) - \mathscr{W}_n^{z'}(s,y)\|^p\right] \leq c_{p,T,K}\|z - z'\|^p.$$

Therefore, we have proved that

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\|\varphi_n^z(t,x) - \varphi_n^{z'}(t,x)\|^p\right] + \mathrm{E}\left[\|v_n^z(t,x) - v_n^{z'}(t,x)\|^p\right] \leq c_{p,T,K}\|z - z'\|^p,$$

which, together with (6.16), shows that for all $p > 1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{E}\left[\sup_{\|z\|\leq\delta} \|\varphi_n^z(t,x) - v_n^z(t,x)\|^p\right] = 0.$$

This, together with (6.15) conclude the proof of (6.13).

The proof of (ii) for $\psi_n^z(t,x)$ follows along the same lines, therefore we only give the main steps. Let

$$\psi_{n,i,j,m}^z(t,x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_m \partial z_j}\hat{u}_{n,i}^z(t,x).$$

Then, using the interpretation of the Malliavin matrix as a directional derivative, we have that

$$\psi_{n,i,j,m}^z(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \langle D_s^{(m)} D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}_{n,i}^z(t,x)), g_n^j(r,*) \otimes g_n^m(s,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}\otimes\mathscr{H}} drds,$$

where the $\mathscr{H}\otimes\mathscr{H}$-valued process $D_s^{(m)} D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}_{n,i}^z(t,x))$, satisfies the following linear stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
D_s^{(m)} D_r^{(j)}(\hat{u}_{n,i}^z(t,x)) &= \Gamma(t-r,x-*)D_s^{(m)}(\sigma_{ij}(u(r,*))) + \Gamma(t-s,x-*)D_r^{(j)}(\sigma_{im}(u(s,*))) \\
&\quad + \int_{r\vee s}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-w,x-y)\sum_{\ell=1}^k D_s^{(m)} D_r^{(j)}(\sigma_{i\ell}(u(w,y)))W^\ell(dw,dy) \\
&\quad + \int_{r\vee s}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t-w,dy)D_s^{(m)} D_r^{(j)}(b_i(u(w,x-y)))\,dw.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the chain rule and the stochastic differential equation satisfied by the first derivative, one can compute the different terms of $\psi^z_{n,i,j,m}(t,x)$ as we did for $\psi^z_{n,i,j}(t,x)$. Also, a similar but simpler equation holds for $\partial_z v^z_n(t,x)$.

One first shows that for all $p>1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\|z\|\le\delta} \mathrm{E}\left[\|\psi^z_n(t,x)-\partial_z v^z_n(t,x)\|^p\right]=0.$$

Then one estimates the pth-moments of the differences $\psi^z_n(t,x)-\psi^{z'}_n(t,x)$ and $\partial_z v^z_n(t,x)-\partial_{z'}v^{z'}_n(t,x)$ as we did for $\varphi^z_n(t,x)$ in order to get the desired result. □

7 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The existence and smoothness of the density follows from [NQ07, Theorem 6.2]. Hence, we only need to prove the strict positivity. For this, one applies Theorem 5.2 as in Theorem 1.1(b) taking $\Sigma=\mathbb{R}$. In this case, in order to prove hypothesis (i) of Theorem 5.2, one proceeds as in Theorem 1.1(b), using hypotheses **(H1)**, **(H2)**, and (1.6), to show that for all $p>1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{E}\left[|\varphi^0_n(t,x)-\mathscr{W}^0_n(t,x)|^p\right]=0.$$

We next find a lower bound for $\mathscr{W}^0_n(t,x)$. For all $m\ge 1$, define the family of smooth functions $\Gamma^x_m(r,\xi):=(\psi_m(*)\star\Gamma(r,*))(\xi)$ and $J^{t,x}_m(r,\xi):=(\psi_m(*)\star\sigma(\hat{u}(t-r,*))\Gamma(r,x-*))(\xi)$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Then, using the non-degeneracy assumption on σ, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{W}^0_n(t,x) &= \lim_{m\to\infty} c_n^{-1}\int_0^{2^{-n}} \langle J^{t,x}_m(r,*),\Gamma^x_m(r,*)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\,dr\\ &= \lim_{m\to\infty} c_n^{-1}\int_0^{2^{-n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J^{t,x}_m(r,y)f(y-z)\Gamma^x_m(r,z)\,dydzdr\\ &\ge c.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, this implies that

$$\varphi^0_n(t,x)\ge c-|\varphi^0_n(t,x)-\mathscr{W}^0_n(t,x)|.$$

Finally, these assertions imply that for all $y\in\operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{P}\circ u^{-1}(t,x))$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{P}\left\{(|u(t,x)-y|\le r)\cap(\varphi^0_n(t,x)\ge\frac{c}{2})\right\}>0,$$

which proves (i). The proof of (ii) follows exactly as in Theorem 1.1(b).

8 Examples

In this Section we apply our Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 to spatially homogeneous non-linear stochastic heat and wave equations.

8.1 The stochastic heat equation

Let Γ be the fundamental solution of the deterministic heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with null initial conditions, that is,

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \Gamma = 0.$$

Then, Γ is given by the Gaussian density

$$\Gamma(t,x) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2t}\right),$$

and

$$\mathscr{F}\Gamma(t)(\xi) = \exp(-2\pi^2 t \|\xi\|^2).$$

Note that hypothesis (1.4) is clearly satisfied. At is pointed out in [NQ07, Example 4.3], hypothesis (1.3) holds if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{(1+\|\xi\|^2)} < +\infty. \tag{8.1}$$

Moreover, it was proved in [SS00] that under the stronger condition: there exists $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{(1+\|\xi\|^2)^{\epsilon}} < +\infty, \tag{8.2}$$

hypotheses (1.5) and (1.6) are satisfied for all $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1-\epsilon]$ and $\gamma_2 \in (0, 1-\epsilon]$, and hypothesis **(H4)** holds for all $\gamma_4 \in (0, 2(1-\epsilon)]$. Condition (1.7) is clearly satisfied for all $\gamma_3 \in (0,1]$. Also, condition **(H6)** is satisfied for any $\eta \geq 1$ (see [NQ07, Remark 6.3]).

Observe that under condition (8.2), by Lemma 3.2, the trajectories of the solution u of equation (1.1) where L is the heat operator are $\gamma/2$-Hölder continuous in time, and γ-Hölder continuous in space, for all $\gamma \in (0, 1-\epsilon]$.

We finally study hypothesis **(H5)**. For this, we assume that the spatially homogeneous covariance is defined as the Riesz kernel $f(x) = \|x\|^{-\beta}$ for $0 < \beta < (2 \wedge d)$. That is, $\mu(d\xi) = \|\xi\|^{\beta - d} d\xi$. In this case, the integrability condition (8.2) holds for all $\epsilon > \frac{\beta}{2}$.

For all $h \in [0,T]$, using the changing variables $[\tilde{y} = \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{r}},\ \tilde{z} = \frac{x-z}{\sqrt{r}}]$, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|y-z\|^{-\beta} \Gamma(r,y)\Gamma(r,z)\, dydzdr \\
&\qquad = \int_0^h r^{-\beta/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\tilde{y}-\tilde{z}\|^{-\beta} \Gamma(1,\tilde{y})\Gamma(1,\tilde{z}) d\tilde{y} d\tilde{z} dr = c h^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, hypotheses (1.6) and **(H6)** are satisfied for $\gamma_2 = \eta = \frac{2-\beta}{2}$.

Moreover, by [SS00], hypothesis (1.5) holds for all $\gamma_1 \in (0, \frac{2-\beta}{2})$, and hypothesis **(H4)** holds for all $\gamma_4 \in (0, 2-\beta)$. Condition (1.7) is clearly satisfied for $\gamma_3 = 1$. Hence, $\gamma = \gamma_1$.

Furthermore, hypothesis **(H5)** holds with $\alpha_1 = \frac{2-\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma_4}{4}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{2-\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma_4}{4}$. Indeed, using the change of variables $[\tilde{y} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{r}}, \tilde{z} = \frac{\tilde{z}}{\sqrt{r}}]$, for all $\tau \in [0,1]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|z\|^{\frac{\gamma_4}{2}} \|y-z\|^{-\beta} \Gamma(r,z)\Gamma(r,y) dzdydr \\
&= \int_0^\tau r^{\frac{\gamma_4}{4} - \frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\tilde{z}\|^{\frac{\gamma_4}{2}} \|\tilde{y}-\tilde{z}\|^{-\beta} \Gamma(1,\tilde{z})\Gamma(1,\tilde{y}) d\tilde{z} d\tilde{y} dr = c\tau^{\frac{2-\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma_4}{4}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^\tau r^{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|y-z\|^{-\beta}\Gamma(r,z)\Gamma(r,y)dzdydr$$
$$= \int_0^\tau r^{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\tilde{y}-\tilde{z}\|^{-\beta}\Gamma(1,\tilde{z})\Gamma(1,\tilde{y})d\tilde{z}d\tilde{y}dr = c\tau^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}+\frac{\gamma_1}{2}}.$$

Observe that $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = \frac{2-\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma_4 \wedge (2\gamma_1)}{4} < \frac{3}{4}(2-\beta) < (2-\beta) = (2\gamma_2)\wedge(\gamma_2+1)$.

Hence, applying Theorem 1.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 8.1. *Let u be the solution of equation* (1.1)*, where L is the heat operator and the spatial covariance of the noise is given by a Riesz kernel. Assume that the coefficients of the equation satisfy hypothesis* **(H2)***. Then, for all $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the law of random vector $u(t,x)$ admits a $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ strictly positive density on the set $\Gamma := \{\det \sigma \neq 0\}$.*

Finally, as a consequence of Theorem 1.3, we prove the strict positivity of the density of a single stochastic heat equation in any space dimension, under the integrability condition (8.2).

Theorem 8.2. *Let u be the solution of equation* (1.1) *with $k=1$, and L the heat operator. Assume that σ and b are $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ bounded functions with bounded derivatives, and $|\sigma| \geq c > 0$. Assume also that* (8.2) *holds. Then, for all $(t,x) \in]0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the law of random variable $u(t,x)$ admits a $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ strictly positive density on $\mathbb{R}$.*

8.2 The stochastic wave equation

Let Γ_d be the fundamental solution of the deterministic wave equation in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with null initial conditions, that is,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_d}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \Gamma_d = 0.$$

Then, for $d = 1,2,3$, Γ_d is given, respectively, by

$$\Gamma_1(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}_{\{|x|\leq t\}}.$$
$$\Gamma_2(t,x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(t^2 - \|x\|^2)_+^{-1/2}.$$
$$\Gamma_3(t,x) = \frac{1}{4\pi t}\sigma_t,$$

where σ_t denotes the surface measure on the three-dimensional sphere of radius t. Note that only for $d \in \{1,2,3\}$, Γ_d defines a nonnegative measure. Moreover, for all $d \geq 1$,

$$\mathscr{F}\Gamma_d(t)(\xi) = \frac{\sin(2\pi t\|\xi\|)}{2\pi\|\xi\|}.$$

Note that hypothesis (1.4) is clearly satisfied. At is pointed out in [NQ07, Example 4.2], hypothesis (1.3) holds if and only if (8.1) is satisfied.

Moreover, if we assume condition (8.2), then hypothesis (1.5) holds for all $\gamma_1 \in (0, 2(1-\epsilon)]$ (see [SS00, Lemma 3]), hypothesis (1.6) holds for all $\gamma_2 \in (0, 3-2\epsilon]$ (see [QS04, Lemma 3]), and condition **(H4)** is satisfied for all $\gamma_4 \in (0, 2(1-\epsilon)]$ (see [SS00, Lemma 3]). Finally, condition (1.7) holds for $\gamma_3 = 2$ (see [QS04, (A.4)]) **(H6)** is satisfied for $\eta = 3$ (see [QS04, (A.3)]).

In particular under condition (8.2), by Lemma 3.2, the trajectories of the solution u of equation (1.1) where L is the wave operator with $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are γ-Hölder continuous in time and in space, for all $\gamma \in (0, 1-\epsilon]$.

As in the heat operator case, in order to check hypothesis **(H5)**, we assume that the spatially homogeneous covariance is defined as the Riesz kernel.

Observe that in this case, as $\gamma_1 \in (0, 2-\beta)$, $\gamma_2 \in (0, 3-\beta)$, and $\gamma_3 = 2$, we have that $\gamma \in (0, 2-\beta)$. Moreover, $\gamma_4 \in (0, 2-\beta)$.

Notice also that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Psi(r)(\xi)|^2 \|\xi\|^{\beta-d} \, d\xi dr \leq T^{\gamma_4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma_d(r)(\xi)|^2 \|\xi\|^{\beta-d} \, d\xi dr < +\infty.$$

Moreover, changing variables, for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau \langle \Psi(r, *), \Gamma_d(r, *) \rangle_{\mathscr{H}} dr &= \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathscr{F}\Gamma_d(r)(\xi) \frac{\sin(2\pi t \|\xi\|)}{2\pi\|\xi\|} \|\xi\|^{\beta-d} \, d\xi dr \\ &= \langle \Psi(1, *), \Gamma_d(1, *) \rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \int_0^\tau r^{2-\beta+\frac{\gamma_4}{2}} \, dr = c\tau^{3-\beta+\frac{\gamma_4}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^\tau r^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathscr{F}\Gamma_d(r)(\xi)|^2 \|\xi\|^{\beta-d} \, d\xi dr = c\tau^{3-\beta+\frac{\gamma}{2}}.$$

Hence, hypothesis **(H5)** holds taking $\alpha = 3 - \beta + \frac{\gamma \wedge \gamma_4}{2} (< 4 - \frac{3}{2}\beta)$, and choosing γ_2 such that $4 - \frac{3}{2}\beta < (2\gamma_2) \wedge (\gamma_2 + 1)$. Notice that this is possible because $\gamma_2 \in (0, 3-\beta)$.

Finally, in order to have $\alpha = 3 - \beta + \frac{\gamma \wedge \gamma_4}{2} > 3 = \eta$ (required in hypothesis **(H6)**), it is necessary that $\gamma \wedge \gamma_4 > 2\beta$. But as $\gamma \wedge \gamma_4 < 2 - \beta$, this is only possible if $\beta \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$.

Hence, appealing to Theorem 1.1, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 8.3. *Let u be the solution of equation* (1.1)*, where L is the wave operator and the spatial covariance of the noise is given by a Riesz kernel with $\beta \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$ and $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Assume that the coefficients of the equation satisfy hypothesis* **(H2)**. *Then, for all $(t, x) \in]0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the law of random vector $u(t, x)$ admits a $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ strictly positive density on the set $\Gamma := \{\det \sigma \neq 0\}$.*

Finally, as a consequence of Theorem 1.3, we have the following result for the case of a single wave equation.

Theorem 8.4. *Let u be the solution of equation* (1.1) *with $k = 1$, and L the wave operator with spatial dimension $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Assume that σ and b are $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ bounded functions with bounded derivatives, and $|\sigma| \geq c > 0$. Assume also that* (8.2) *holds. Then, for all $(t, x) \in]0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the law of random variable $u(t, x)$ admits a $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ strictly positive density on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Vlad Bally for stimulating discussions on the subject.

References

[BP98] Bally, V. and Pardoux, E. (1998), Malliavin calculus for white noise driven parabolic SPDEs, *Potential Analysis*, **9**, 27-64.

[C02] Cardon-Weber, C. (2002), Cahn-Hilliard stochastic equation: strict positivity of the density, *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.*, **72**, 191–227.

[CS03] Chaleyat-Maurel, M. and Sanz-Solé, M. (2003), Positivity of the density for the stochastic wave equation in two spatial dimensions, *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, **7**, 89-114.

[D99] Dalang, R.C. (1999), Extending martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous s.p.d.e's, *Electronic Journal of Probability*, **4**, 1-29.

[DN04] Dalang, R.C. and Nualart, E. (2004), Potential theory for hyperbolic SPDEs, *The Annals of Probability*, **32**, 2099-2148.

[DKN08] Dalang, R.C., Khoshnevisan, D., and Nualart, E. (2008), Hitting probabilities for systems of non-linear stochastic heat equations with additive noise, *ALEA*, **32**, 2099-2148.

[DKN09a] Dalang, R.C., Khoshnevisan, D., and Nualart, E. (2009), Hitting probabilities for systems of non-linear stochastic heat equation with multiplicative noise, *Probability theory and related fields*, **32**, 2099-2148.

[DKN09b] Dalang, R.C., Khoshnevisan, D., and Nualart, E. (2009), Hitting probabilities for systems of non-linear spatially homogeneous stochastic heat equations, *In Preparation.*

[DS09] Dalang, R.C. and Sanz-Solé, M. (2009), *Hölder-Sobolev regularity of the solution to the stochastic wave equation in dimension 3*, Memoirs of the AMS, **199**.

[DZ92] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J. (1992), *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[F99] Fournier, N. (1999), Strict positivity of the density for a Poisson driven S.D.E., *Stochastic and Stochastic Reports*, **68**, 1-43.

[MMS01] Márquez-Carreras, D., Mellouk, M. and Sarrà, M. (2001), On stochastic partial differential equations with spatially correlated noise: smoothness of the law, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **93**, 269-284.

[MS97] Millet, A. and Sanz-Solé, M. (1997), Points of positive density for the solution to a hyperbolic SPDEs, *Potential Analysis*, **7**, 623-659.

[MS99] Millet, A. and Sanz-Solé, M. (1999), A stochastic wave equation in two space dimension: smoothness of the law, *The Annals of Probability*, **27**, 803-844.

[N98] Nualart, D. (1998), Analysis on Wiener space and anticipating stochastic calculus, *Ecole kEté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XXV, Lect. Notes in Math.* **1690**, Springer-Verlag, 123-227.

[N06] Nualart, D. (2006), *The Malliavin calculus and related topics, Second Edition*, Springer-Verlag.

[NQ07] Nualart, D. and Quer-Sardanyons, L. (2007), Existence and smoothness of the density for spatially homogeneous SPDEs, *Potential Analysis*, **27**, 281-299.

[SS00] Sanz-Solé, M. and Sarrà, M. (2000), Path Properties of a Class of Gaussian Processes with Applications to SPDE's, *Canadian mathematical Society Conference Proceedings*, **28**, 303-316.

[SS02] Sanz-Solé, M. and Sarrà, M. (2002), Hölder continuity for the stochastic heat equation with spatially correlated noise, *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields ans Applications, III (Ascona, 1999), Progr. Prob.*, **52**, 259-268.

[QS04] Quer-Sardanyons, L. and Sanz-Solé, M. (2004), Absolute continuity of the law of the solution to the 3-dimensional stochastic wave equation, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **206**, 1-32.

[QS04] Quer-Sardanyons, L. and Sanz-Solé, M. (2004), A stochastic wave equation in dimension 3: Smoothness of the law, *Bernouilli*, **10**, 165-186.

[W86] Walsh, J.B. (1986), An Introduction to Stochastic Partial Differential Equations, *Ecole d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIV, Lect. Notes in Math.*, **1180**, Springer-Verlag, 266-437.